Submission form

Contact details

Name (Individual and/or organisation): Margaret Morgan					
Contact name (for organisation):					
Email address:					
Phone (day) (0)	Phone (mobile) (02)				

Summary of proposals

- 1. The Department's proposals reflect two complementary drivers. First, users' expectations about how they access BDM information have changed since the access provisions were introduced in 2009. Second, enabling citizens to interact easily with government in a digital environment is a key Government Better Public Services target.
- 2. In the short to medium term, subject to existing legislative, systems, and technological constraints, the Department will investigate options for enhancing existing online services (eg, improved search functionality). Ultimately, the Department would like to facilitate access to BDM information via an all-of-BDM Internet-based search, pay-for-view, and records access and ordering facility, without compromising individual privacy and security interests.
- 3. Alongside the specific amendments to the access provisions detailed below, the Department wants to remove remaining legislative barriers to digital and online services (eg, by making language and delivery channels medium-neutral). This will support future enhancements for all BDM services, not just access to BDM information.

The Department proposes to—

General access rules

- Add historical and non-historical BDM indexes, but not full non-historical records, to the BDM information that can be searched online (some information may behind a paywall);
- Authorise unedited historical register images to be made available online (behind a paywall);
- Provide online access to BDM information (beyond an initial high level search) would be subject to evidence of identity established via a RealMe ID, or through another future solution that would enable a verified identity to be asserted online; and
- Allow a user with a verified identity to order a certificate or printout for a non-historical record online.

	1			1		
Do you support the proposals?	Yes			No		
Please use this space for any addition	al com	ments:				
Historical and non-historical inform	ation					
 Reduce the time limit that define years to 75 years; and 	es hist	orical n	narriage and civil	union	information from 80	
 Align rules for access to birth inf available through digital and onl becomes available. 		•	•			
Do you support the proposals?	Yes	\boxtimes		No	П	
Please use this space for any addition	al com			l		
Source documents						
Classify Intention to Marry book	s as m	arriage	registers, and au	ıthoris	e access in the same	
way, and subject to the same ru		_	•			
Do you support the proposal?	Yes	\boxtimes		No		
Please use this space for any addition	al com	ments:				
Remaining access provisions						
Access of the control of the desired of the					(
Access register; non-disclosure directions; research purposes; disclosure of death information						
The Department has no specific proposals. We consider the current rules are appropriate and						
are working well; the individual privacy and security protections they provide will be integral in the context of the proposed new digital and online access channels.						
in the context of the proposed new	aigitai	and on	lline access chan	neis.		
_						
Do you—	Agre	e?	\boxtimes	Disag	ree?	
Please use this space for any addition	al com	ments:				
•						
If you want to comment on any other matter related to the access provisions						
please use this space for your subn	nission	:				